

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,539	04/15/2004	James D. Ballew	064747.1014	8244	
45507 BAKER BOT	7590 11/13/200 FS I I P	8	EXAM	IINER	
2001 ROSS AVENUE			GREENE, JOSEPH L		
6TH FLOOR DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2451		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2008	FLECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail3@bakerbotts.com PTOmail4@bakerbotts.com

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/825,539 BALLEW ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JOSEPH L. GREENE 2451 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application	<b>Papers</b>
-------------	---------------

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a)∐ All	b) Some * c) None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Δ1	to	rh	m	Ωŧ	261	e

Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	2
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/09/2008, 01/30/2008, 03/14/2008, 03/26/2008,	

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☑ Other: The Cactus Worm Exp..

10/01/2008. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 2451

#### DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1 39 are currently pending in this application.
- Claims 1 33 are amended as filed on 07/10/2008.
- Claims 34 39 are new as filed on 07/10/2008.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 12-14, 23-25, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blumrich et al. (Pre-Grant Publication No. US 2004/0103218 A1), hereinafter Blumrich, in view of Fung (Pre-Grant Publication No. US 2002/0062454 A1, as found in the applicant's Information Disclosure Statement).
- 6. With respect to claims 1 and 23, Blumrich disclosed software residing at one or more computer systems collectively operable to execute the software, the software comprising (0024, lines 28-33 and 0025, lines 4-7): a plurality of cluster agents, each cluster agent associated with one of a plurality of nodes, each node comprising a

Art Unit: 2451

switching fabric and at least two processors integrated to the card ([0057], lines 1-14), the cluster agent operable to determine a status of the associated node ([0210], lines 4-9); However, Blumrich did not explicitly state a cluster management engine communicably coupled to the plurality of nodes and operable to dynamically allocate a particular subset of the plurality of nodes to a particular job based on the determined status of each of one or more of the plurality of nodes and execute the job using the particular subset. Also, Blumrich did not explicitly state that the switching fabric was an integrated switching fabric.

On the other hand, Fung did teach a cluster management engine communicably coupled to the plurality of nodes (0011, lines 13-15) and operable to dynamically allocate a particular subset of the plurality of nodes to a particular job based on the determined status of each of one or more of the plurality of nodes and execute the job using the particular subset (0011, lines 10-13). Fung also taught that the switching fabric was an integrated switching fabric (0011, lines 13-15). Both the systems of Blumrich and Fung are directed towards management for multiprocessing systems and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of Blumrich, to use integrated switching fabrics and dynamic job allocation, as taught by Fung. Integrated switching fabrics improve the efficiency of the system by providing more space within a system and also faster (integrated) switching. Furthermore, dynamic job allocation allows a system to make the most efficient use of its resources. Lastly, although not inherent, dynamic job allocation is given within a multi-processing system.

Art Unit: 2451

7. With respect to claim 12, Blumrich disclosed a method comprising: determining a status of each of at least a subset of a plurality of nodes ([0210], lines 4-9), each node comprising a switching fabric integrated to a card and at least two processors integrated to the card (0057, lines 1-14); dynamically executing the job using the particular subset ([0226], lines 20-22, using run-time library information to configure hardware is dynamic allocation), but Blumrich did not explicitly state the fabric being a switching fabric or the dynamic allocation being particular subset of the plurality of nodes to a particular job based on the determined status of each of one ore more of the plurality of nodes. However, Fung did teach the fabric being a switching fabric (0011, lines 13-15) and the dynamic allocation being particular subset of the plurality of nodes to a particular job (0011, lines 6-15) based on the determined status of each of one ore more of the plurality of nodes (0142, lines 4-6).

Both the systems of Blumrich and Fung are directed towards management for multiprocessing systems and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of Blumrich, to use integrated switching fabrics and dynamic job allocation, as taught by Fung. Integrated switching fabrics improve the efficiency of the system by providing more space within a system and also faster (integrated) switching. Furthermore, dynamic job allocation allows a system to make the most efficient use of its resources. Lastly, although not inherent, dynamic job allocation is given within a multi-processing system.

Art Unit: 2451

- 8. As for claims 2, 13, and 24, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all of the limitations described in claims 1, 12, and 23 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich taught being further operable to determine a topology of the plurality of nodes ([0255], lines 1-5) based, at least in part, on the determined status of the nodes ([0225], lines 1-10, the network determines the status of elements to decide if it favors another method).
- As for claims 3, 14, and 25, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all
  of the limitations described in claims 2, 13, and 24 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich
  taught wherein the topology comprises a three dimensional Torus (10015), lines 1-5).
- 10. As for claims 34, 35, and 36, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all of the limitations described in claims 1, 12, and 23 (respectively). In addition, Fung taught wherein the card is a motherboard (0234, lines 1-5).
- 11. Claims 4-5, 8-11, 15-16,19-22, 26-27, and 30-33 are rejected under 35
  U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blumrich, in view of Fung, as applied to claims 1, 12, and 23 (respectively), and in view of Allen et al. (The Cactus Worm: Experiments with Dynamic Resource Discovery and Allocation in a Grid Environment), hereinafter Allen.
- 12. As for claims 4, 15, and 26, the combination of Blumrich and Fung taught all of the limitations described in claims 1, 12, and 23 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich taught wherein the cluster management engine further operable to dynamically allocate

Page 6

a virtual cluster in the plurality of nodes. But Blumrich did not explicitly state a virtual cluster nor did he teach a dynamically allocated subset for executing the job comprising

at least a subset of the virtual cluster. However, Allen taught such a system

(Introduction, lines 4-8). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Blumrich in order to utilize

dynamic allocation of virtual clusters, as taught by Allen, in order to make an efficient

system that can adjust to different size workloads without undue hardship.

13 As for claims 5, 16, and 27, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all

of the limitations described in claims 4, 15, and 26 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich

taught the cluster management engine further operable to: dynamically allocate a

second particular subset of nodes in the virtual cluster; and execute a second job using

the second particular subset ([0024], lines 1-7; [0028], lines 3-7, where parallel

processing is the second subset of nodes).

As for claims 8, 19, and 30, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all 14.

of the limitations described in claims 4, 15, and 26 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich

taught the cluster management engine further operable to dynamically allocate a

second cluster in the plurality of nodes ([0024], lines 1-7; [0028], lines 3-7, where

parallel processing is the second subset of nodes). But Blumrich did not explicitly state

allocating virtual clusters. However, Allen did (Introduction, lines 4-8).

Art Unit: 2451

15. As for claims 9, 20, and 31, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all of the limitations described in claims 8, 19, and 30 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich taught the second virtual cluster comprises different nodes from the first virtual cluster ([0028], lines 3-11).

16. As for claims 10, 21, and 32, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all of the limitations described in claims 1, 12, and 23 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich taught wherein to execute the job using the particular subset (see the rejection for claim 1) the cluster management engine operable to: receive a job request comprising one or more parameters (in performing calculations, the process of receiving and carrying out a job is taking place); dynamically allocate the subset of the plurality of nodes, and execute the job using the dynamically allocated subset ([0226], lines 2-22). But Blumrich did not explicitly state determine dimensions of the job based, at least in part, on the one or more job parameters or based at least in part, on the determined dimensions.

However, Allen did teach such a system (Introduction, lines 4-8, where changing based off of characteristics requires that dimensions are determined). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill, in the art, at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Blumrich in order to determine dimensions, as taught by Allen. Doing so provides a system that can better handle its workloads. Furthermore, determining dimensions or status would likely be used in dynamic allocation of any sort.

Art Unit: 2451

- 17. As for claim 11, 22, and 33, the combination of Blumrich and Fung disclosed all of the limitations described in claims 10, 21, and 32 (respectively). In addition, Blumrich taught the cluster management engine further operable to: select a policy ([0255], lines 1-15, where the Torus, global tree, etc are different policies) based on the job request; and dynamically determine the dimensions of the job further based on the selected policy ([0226], lines 20-22).
- Claims 6-7, 17-18, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blumrich, in view of Fung, in view of Allen, as applied to claims 4, 15, and 26, and in further view of Zircher et al. (Pre-Grant Publication No. US 2003/0217105 A1), hereinafter Zircher.
- 19. As for claims 6, 17, and 28, they are rejected to on the same basis as claims 4, 15, and 26 (respectively) above. However, the combination of Blumrich, Fung, and Allen did not explicitly state the virtual cluster associated with a user group. However, Zircher did teach such a system ([0097], lines 10-13, where the designated devices form the user groups). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Blumrich, Fung, and Allen in order to utilize user groups, as taught by Zircher. Doing so greatly increases the autonomy of the s use and allowing it to serve a higher volume of customer/clients.

Art Unit: 2451

20. As for claims 7, 18, and 29, they are rejected on the same basis as claims 6, 17, and 28 (respectively) above. In addition, Zircher taught the cluster management engine further operable to verify a user submitting the job based, at least in part, on the user group ([0097], lines 10-13 and [0102], lines 1-2, in the process of utilizing the access control list, the verification of the user is taking place).

- 21. Claims 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blumrich, in view of Fung, and in further view of Official Notice.
- 22. With respect to claims 37, 38, and 39, Blumrich disclosed Software residing at one or more computer systems collectively operable to execute the software (0024, lines 28-33 and 0025, lines 4-7), the software comprising: a plurality of cluster agents, each cluster agent associated with one of a plurality of nodes, the cluster agent operable to determine a status of the associated node ([0057], lines 1-14), each node comprising: at least two first processors integrated to a first card and operable to communicate with each other via a direct link between them (0057, lines 3-4); the first processors communicably coupled to the first switch, the first switch operable to communicably couple the first processors to six or more second cards each comprising at least two second processors integrated to the second card and a second switch integrated to the second card operable to communicably couple the second processors to the first card and at least five third cards each comprising at least two third

Art Unit: 2451

processors integrated to the third card and a third switch integrated to the third card (0203, lines 8-10 and figures 1 and 5);

Blumrich also disclosed the first processors being operable to communicate with particular second processors on a particular second card via the first switch and the second switch on the particular second card; the first processors being operable to communicate with particular third processors on a particular third card via the first switch, a particular second switch on a particular second card between the first card and the particular third card, and the third switch on the particular third card (0057, lines 1-14, where the first switch is the same as the second switch).

However, Blumrich did not explicitly state a cluster management engine communicably coupled to the plurality of nodes and operable to dynamically allocate a particular subset of the plurality of nodes to a particular job based on the determined status of each of one or more of the plurality of nodes and execute the job using the particular subset. Also, Blumrich did not explicitly state that the switching fabric was an integrated switching fabric.

On the other hand, Fung did teach a cluster management engine communicably coupled to the plurality of nodes (0011, lines 13-15) and operable to dynamically allocate a particular subset of the plurality of nodes to a particular job based on the determined status of each of one or more of the plurality of nodes and execute the job using the particular subset (0011, lines 10-13). Fung also taught that the switching fabric was an integrated switching fabric (0011, lines 13-15). Both the systems of Blumrich and Fung are directed towards management for multiprocessing systems and

Art Unit: 2451

therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of Blumrich, to use integrated switching fabrics and dynamic job allocation, as taught by Fung. Integrated switching fabrics improve the efficiency of the system by providing more space within a system and also faster (integrated) switching. Furthermore, dynamic job allocation allows a system to make the most efficient use of its resources. Lastly, although not inherent, dynamic job allocation is given within a multi-processing system.

However, the teachings of the combination of Blumrich and Fung did not explicitly state two processors on a card that communicate with a central authority without communicating via either second processor on the particular second card. However, the examiner gives official notice that the purpose of multi-processing is to improve the efficiency of processing tasks and therefore, not having the two processors communicate would require a third authority to designate the tasks, just increasing overhead of the system and therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the teachings of the combination of Blumrich and Fung to utilize processors that don't co-communicate.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 07/10/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2451

24. The applicant argues, on page 14, that the compute and I/O nodes in Blumrich have only Ethernet or Infiniband ports and rely on external 100 Mbps Ethernet Switches and an external Gigabit Ethernet switch to communicate with each other and with the host computing system in Blumrich. However, the argument has been addressed in the claim modified claim rejections as the limitation was added as amended 07/10/2008.

#### Conclusion

- 25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - (a) Barrow et al. (Patent No. US 7,107,337 B2), an integrated switching system.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2451

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH L. GREENE whose telephone number is (571)270-3730. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 9:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JI G

/John Follansbee/ SPE 2451